

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

GEORGE J. PROAKIS
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Planning Division

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIR

DANIELLE EVANS, CLERK

ELAINE SEVERINO

ANNE BROCKELMAN

JOSH SAFDIE

DREW KANE (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2017-73-R1-3/19

Site: 88 Line Street

Date of Decision: April 17, 2019

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk:** April 26, 2019

# **ZBA DECISION**

Site: 88 Line Street, 90 Line Street, and 18 Cooney Street

Applicant / Owner Name: Sanjeev M. Kale

Applicant / Owner Address: 88 Line Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Ward Councilor: J.T. Scott

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant and Owner, Sanjeev M. Kale, seeks a Revision to a previously approved Special Permit (ZBA 2017-73) under SZO §5.3.8 to increase the FAR of the structure by finishing the basement. RB Zone. Ward 2.

Zoning District/Ward:
Zoning Approval Sought:
Date of Application:
Date of Decision:

Date of Decision:

RB Zone. Ward 2.
SZO §5.3.8
March 14, 2019
April 17, 2019
April 17, 2019

Vote: 4-0

Case # ZBA 2017-73-R1-3/19 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals in the Council Chambers at Somerville City Hall on April 17, 2019. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. On April 17, 2019 the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: April 26, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2017-73-R1-3/19

Site: 88 Line Street

## **I.DESCRIPTION:**

The proposal is to finish the basement to remain wide open except for mechanical closet and storage under the stairs. The proposal will add approximately 977 square feet of net floor area to the previously approved structures. The FAR will increase from .74 to .92, which will conform to the maximum allowed of 1.0 for the RB district.

# II. FINDINGS FOR REVISIONS to SPECIAL PERMIT WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW (SZO §5.3.8):

Revisions that are not de minimis shall be subject to the full notice and hearing provisions of §5.3.2 of this Ordinance, but shall not be subject to review by additional boards, departments, city agencies or commissions except as requested by the SPGA or upon the recommendation of the Planning Director. Applicable findings shall be made in accordance with the type of permit(s) being revised.

The findings made under the previous Special Permit approved by ZBA in December of 2017 (ZBA 2017-73) are not applicable to this proposal to finish the basement.

# **III.DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Danielle Evans, Drew Kane and Elaine Severino. Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the request for Revision to Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. The Zoning Board of Appeals voted **4-0** to **APPROVE** the request **WITH CONDITIONS**. The following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes	
	Approval is revising the previously approved special permit to finish the basement. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Pln g.		
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission				
	March 14, 2019	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office				
	March 13, 2019	Basement Plan (0.1)				
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations/use that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.					
Pre	Pre-Construction					
2	The proposed basement finished floor elevation shall not be less than is 1 foot above the Seasonal High Ground Water elevation. The seasonal high ground water elevation shall be determined by a Massachusetts certified soil evaluator and stated on a signed soil test pit log.		BP	Eng.		
Final Sign-Off						



Page 3 Date: April 26, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2017-73-R1-3/19 Site: 88 Line Street

	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off		
3	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Page 4 Date: April 26, 2019
Case #: ZBA 2017-73-R1-3/19

Site: 88 Line Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairma</i>
	Danielle Evans, Clerk
	Elaine Severino
	Drew Kane (Alt.)

Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

Monique Baldwin

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed	on	_ in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and		
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN		
there have been no appeals filed in the Offi	ce of the City Clerk, or	
any appeals that were filed have been final	ly dismissed or denied.	
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN		
there have been no appeals filed in the Offi	ce of the City Clerk, or	
there has been an appeal filed.	·	
Signed	City Clerk	Date

